

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,829	11/14/2003	Christopher Tararuj	7059		
33986 75 CHRISTOPHER	590 01/12/2007 . TARARUJ	EXAMINER			
1151 HUGHES I		KENNEDY, SHARON E			
HAMILTON, NJ	08690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1615		
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	01/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/713,8	29	TARARUJ, CHRISTOPHER					
		Examine	•	Art Unit					
			Kennedy	1615					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF The sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. Italutory period will apply and work will, by statute, cause the apply and work will apply apply apply apply apply apply apply apply apply and work will apply	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro dication to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
٥,٥	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) 🗌 :	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) 🔲 🤄	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					•				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art listed in the specification has been considered. If applicant wants a listing of that prior art to appear on the face of any patent issuing from this application, applicant should submit a PTO Form-1449 with a listing thereof. Copies of the prior art are not necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-14 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Applicant is advised to call the examiner at the number listed below for assistance in drafting new claims.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Charbonneau, US 4,988,557. Charbonneau discloses a fragrance sampling device containing microcapsules between two surfaces to which a "discontinuous binder" has been applied. See the Abstract. The discontinuous binder may be styrene-butadiene (column 2, line 57) which has been applied in an amount of 10-35% by weight of binder (column 2, line 63). The remainder is pigment. The examiner takes the position that this language anticipates the claimed "polystyrene coated substrate" of claim 1. Regarding the discussion of bond strength of the microcapsule, Charbonneau discloses this feature throughout the patent. See column 3, lines 1-5, concerning a "balance of peel force and capsule rupture." Note also column 3, lines 50-53. Charbonneau states, "The tensile rupture strength of the capsules must be such that the cohesive failure of the adhesive results in capsule breakage." Note also that the adhesive may be applied to that some microcapsules break while others are left unbroken to permit the user to save some of the fragrance for a later time. See column 4, lines 23-40. The capsule sizes are disclosed in column 3, lines 53+. Charbonneau does not disclose the use of gelatin-based microcapsules, however, applicant has not claimed this feature.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following claims are drafted by the examiner and considered to distinguish patentably over the art of record in this application. This is presented to applicant for consideration:

Art Unit: 1615

Claim 15 (New): A pull apart device comprising:

a top portion having an inside surface comprising of polystyrene, a polystyrene blend or a polystyrene coated substrate,

a bottom portion having an inside surface comprising polystyrene, a polystyrene blend or a polystyrene coated substrate; and

a gelatin-based microcapsule layer deposited by conventional means onto an inside surface of either the top or bottom portion, wherein the microcapsules adhere to the bottom and top surfaces such that the capsule coating bonds the overlying surfaces together, the bond between the top and bottom surfaces can be broken by separating the plies, whereby the microcapsules are substantially compromised and release a material contained therein.

Claim 16 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 15, wherein the microcapsule coating contains other additives.

Claim 17 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 16, wherein the additives comprise adhesives and viscosifiers.

Claim 18 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 16, produced in the form of a pressure sensitive backed label which may be affixed to any printed matter, packaging or any delivery vehicle.

Art Unit: 1615

Claim 19 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 16, distributed in the form of a laminated card manufactured in a singe or multiple operation with or without the need for subsequent affixing to a another delivery vehicle.

Claim 20 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 18, wherein the polystyrene surface is over-laminated with a polymeric, paper or composite stock including metallized films, holographic films or preprinted stock.

Claim 21 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 19 wherein a single continuous polystyrene substrate is folded over onto itself before or after laminating to another substrate such as paper or film.

Claim 22 (New): A pull apart device according to claim 16, wherein the microcapsules contain a fragrance which is released upon separation of the overlying plies.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patent to Biannavola, US 5,248,537 discloses a layer 22 of styrene-acrylic emulsion polymer (column 3, line 23) to be folded over along fold 40 to cover

Art Unit: 1615

microcapsule adhesive layer 26, which is applied as a slurry over a barrier coating (column 3, lines 37-40). See especially Figure 1.

The patent to Zolotarsky et al., US 6,723,671, discloses a fragrance layer 6, support layer 1, adhesive layer 4, release coating 7 and a release layer 5 which may be polystyrene (column 6, line 14). The encapsulating substance may be gelatin (column 6, line 34). The polystyrene layer and the encapsulating layer are at opposing sides of the laminate.

The patent to Paciorek et al., US 3,685,734, discloses a cover 13, substrate 11, and fragrance layer 12 (see "perfume" column 1, line 68) which is described as a "volatile organic substance" (column 1, line 60) which may contain a plasticizer (column 2, lines 14-16) in the amount of 80-20% (column 2, line 31) of styrenes (column 2, line 51).

The patent to Green land, US 5,782,060, discloses two plies 12 and 14 which may comprise polystyrene (column 3, line 15) functioning as an "inner polymeric thermoplastic sealant layer" (column 3, lines 8-9). Product sample 20 is not a microcapsule but a gel, liquid (column 1, line 28) or lotion (column 5, line 16). There is no disclosure of a gelatin microcapsule.

The patent to Vernardakis et al., US 6,454,842 discloses a scented ink composition which may be a styrene-maleic anhydride and an oil-based fragrance. The resin "stabilizes the microdroplets of the oil-based fragrance by coating the microdroplets." (See the Abstract, see also column 7, lines 8-10.) The microdroplets

Art Unit: 1615

have a diameter of from about 0.1 to about 1.0 micrometers. "Gelatin" is disclosed as a microcapsule wall in the Background section, column 1, line 24.

The patent to Tsaur et al., US 5,246,603, discloses a tumbler drier sheet containing microcapsule fragrance releasers.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571/272-8373.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon E. Kennedy Primary Examiner

Sharon E. Kennedy

Art Unit 1615